

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/29/2003	Christopher D. Morgan	117P1713USU1	2578
7590 11/02/2004		EXAMINER	
-		PICKETT,	JOHN G
		APTIMIT	PAPER NUMBER
IS, MN 55418			
	09/29/2003	09/29/2003 Christopher D. Morgan 7590 11/02/2004 JP, P.A. E BOX 18455	09/29/2003 Christopher D. Morgan 117P1713USU1 7590 11/02/2004 EXAM PICKETT, E BOX 18455

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			4
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/674,050	MORGAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gregory Pickett	3728	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addres	is
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a rep oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH e, cause the application to become ABA!	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. 1S from the mailing date of this commu NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 S 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under 	s action is non-final. Ince except for formal matter		rits is
Disposition of Claims	•	•	
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers		•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and accomposed and accomposed are considered. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	cepted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance ction is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Appority documents have been re au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stag	ge
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	2)

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-6, drawn to a method of dispensing a product, classified in class
 221, subclass 1.
- Claims 7 and 8, drawn to a unit dose package, classified in class 206, subclass 484.
- III. Claim 9, drawn to a dispensing container, classified in class 221, subclass 70.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions III, II and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)).

In the instant case both of the products III and II as claimed can be used in a materially different process. Neither product requires the separation of the two strips for use.

The unit dose package as claimed can be used by itself to manually dispense the product by pushing the product through one strip layer.

Art Unit: 3728

The dispenser as claimed only requires a single take-up member and as such, the product may be dispensed by means other than separating the two strips, such as by pushing the product through one film layer.

Inventions III and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require the plurality of dividers. The subcombination has separate utility such as manually dispensing the product by pushing the product through one strip layer.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group III or I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 3728

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Michael Mau on 22 October 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory Pickett whose telephone number is 703-305-8321. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/674,050

Art Unit: 3728

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on 703-308-2672. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

100

Greg Pickett Examiner

22 October 2004

Mickey

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700